Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/841,693	HWANG, YOUNG Y.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew O Savage	1723
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>Matthew O Savage</u> .	(3)	
(2) Maxwell J. Petersen.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 21, 23, 24, 26, and 28-40		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THI See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a set directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a set did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examiner will provide eparate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend lines 2, 5, 6, and 8 of claim 21 to clarify the claim. Agreed to amend line 11 of claim 21 to correspond with lines 13-15 of page 8 of the specification. Agreed to amend claims 23 and 24 in order to correspond to the process flow diagram shown in FIG. 2. Agreed to change "class" to --group-- in claim 26 so as to follow a proper markush claim format. Agreed to amend claim 28 to correspond to lines 11-25 of page 10 of the specification. Agreed to cancel claims 29-40 without prejudice for subsequent filing as a continuing application.